

# Inverse scattering transform for the Toda lattice with steplike initial data

**Ag.Kh. Khanmamedov**<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Azerbaijan, 9 F Agayev str., AZ1141, Baku, Azerbaijan

<sup>2</sup> Institute Applied Mathematics, Baku State University, 23 Z Khalilov str., AZ1148, Baku, Azerbaijan

E-mail: [agil\\_khanmamedov@yahoo.com](mailto:agil_khanmamedov@yahoo.com)

**Abstract.** We study the solution of the Toda lattice Cauchy problem with steplike initial data. The initial data are supposed to tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . By the inverse scattering transform method formulas allowing us to find solution of the Toda lattice is obtained.

AMS classification scheme numbers: 34K29; 35Q58

## 1. Introduction

The Toda lattice has some very important applications in the theory of physics of nonlinear processes (see [1]). It is known the inverse scattering method allows one to investigate in detail the Cauchy problem for the Toda lattice in the different classes of initial data (see [1]-[15] and references therein). The last problem for the doubly-infinite Toda lattice

$$\begin{cases} \dot{a}_n = \frac{a_n}{2} (b_{n+1} - b_n), & \dot{\cdot} = \frac{d}{dt}, a_n = a_n(t) > 0, \\ \dot{b}_n = a_n^2 - a_{n-1}^2, & b_n = b_n(t), \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with fast stabilized or steplike fast stabilized initial data is investigated in [1]-[9] (see also references therein) by the method of inverse scattering transform. However, this problem is not studied in the case of steplike initial data, where  $a_n$  tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  (or  $n \rightarrow -\infty$ ).

In this paper we study the Cauchy problem for the system (1.1) with initial data

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(0) \rightarrow 0, \quad b_n(0) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \sum_{n < 0} |n| \{ |a_n(0) - 1| + |b_n(0)| \} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

The solution is considered in the class

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_n(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \rightarrow 0, \quad \|b_n(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \|Q(t)\|_{C[0,T]} < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

for arbitrary  $T > 0$ , where

$$Q(t) = \sum_{n < 0} |n| (|a_n(t) - 1| + |b_n(t)|).$$

Note, we cannot apply directly method given in [1]-[9] for the case  $\inf_n a_n > 0$ , because the Jost solution with the asymptotic behaviour on an  $+\infty$  does not exist in our case. On the other hand, method of inverse problem is used (see [10]) in the case when Jacobi operator associated with (1.1) has the continuous spectrum  $[a, b]$  of multiplicity two. But this method cannot be used when the spectrum of the Jacobi operator has a continuous spectrum of multiplicity one and a discrete spectrum.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we formulate some auxiliary facts to the inverse scattering problem for the Jacobi operator associated with (1.1)-(1.2). In section 3 we describe the evolution of the scattering data of problem (1.1)-(1.2).

In the last section we prove existence of the solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) in class (1.3).

## 2. The scattering problem

Consider Jacobi operator  $L$  generated in  $\ell^2(-\infty, \infty)$  by the finite-difference operations

$$(Ly)_n = a_{n-1}y_{n-1} + b_n y_n + b_n y_{n+1},$$

in which the real coefficients  $a_n > 0$ ,  $b_n$  satisfy the conditions

$$a_n \rightarrow 0, \quad b_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$\sum_{n < 0} |n| \{|a_n - 1| + |b_n|\} < \infty.$$

The interval  $[-2, 2]$  is the continuous spectrum of multiplicity one of operator  $L$  (see [16],[17]). Beyond the continuous spectrum,  $L$  can have a finite number of simple eigenvalues  $\mu_k(t)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ .

Let us formulate some auxiliary facts related to the inverse scattering problem for the equation

$$(Ly)_n = \lambda y_n, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C} \quad (2.1)$$

Many of these facts can be found in [16],[17].

Let  $P_n(\lambda)$  and  $Q_n(\lambda)$  be solutions of Eq. (2.1) with initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} P_{-1}(\lambda) &= 0, \quad P_0(\lambda) = 1, \\ Q_0(\lambda) &= 0, \quad Q_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{a_0}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $L_0$  semi-infinite Jacobi operator generated  $\ell^2[0, \infty)$  by Eq. (2.1) as  $n \geq 0$  and the boundary condition  $y_{-1} = 0$ . This operator is completely continuous. Moreover, the spectral function  $\rho(\lambda)$  of  $L_0$  represented [18] in the form

$$\rho(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda_n < \lambda} \beta_n^{-2}$$

where  $\lambda_n$  is the eigenvalue of  $L_0$  and  $\beta_n$  is the norm of the eigenfunction corresponding to the  $\lambda_n$ .

As is known from [18]-[19], the right Weyl function of the problem (2.1) has the form

$$m(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\rho(\tau)}{\tau - \lambda}, \quad (2.2)$$

or

$$m(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n^{-2}}{\lambda_n - \lambda}.$$

where  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows from [12]-[13] that for  $\lambda \neq \lambda_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , Eq.(2.1) has Weyl solution

$$\psi_n(\lambda) = Q_n(\lambda) + m(\lambda)P_n(\lambda), \quad (2.3)$$

“on the right semiaxis” (such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\psi_n(\lambda)|^2 < \infty$ ).

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is the complex  $\lambda$ -plane with cut along the interval  $[-2, 2]$ . In the plane  $\Gamma$ , consider the function

$$z(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{4} - 1}$$

choosing the regular branch of the radical so that  $\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^2}{4} - 1} < 0$  for  $\lambda > 2$ . We often omit the dependence of  $z(\lambda)$  on  $\lambda$  in what follows. Thus, in the formulas involving  $z$  and  $\lambda$ , we always assume that  $z$  is as in the above equation.

It is well known (see, for example, [20]) that Eq.(2.1) has a Jost solution represented in the form

$$f_n(\lambda) = \alpha_n z^{-n} \left( 1 + \sum_{m<0} A_{nm} z^{-m} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

The coefficients are given by

$$a_n = \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}, \quad b_n = A_{n,-1} - A_{n+1,-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

Without restriction of generality we can suppose that  $\lambda_m \in (-2, 2)$  for any  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . As known [16],[17], for  $\lambda \in \partial\Gamma$ ,  $\lambda^2 \neq 4$ ,  $\lambda \neq \lambda_m$  identity

$$\psi_n(\lambda) = a(\lambda) \overline{f_n(\lambda)} + \overline{a(\lambda)} f_n(\lambda) \quad (2.6)$$

holds, where the function  $a(\lambda)$  can be regularly continued to  $\Gamma$ . Note also,  $a(\lambda)$  can have a finite number of coinciding simple zeros outside the interval  $[-2, 2]$ , because, these zeros constitute the discrete spectrum  $\mu_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ , of the operator  $L$ .

Introduce reflection  $R(\lambda)$  coefficient by the formula

$$R(\lambda) = \frac{\overline{a(\lambda)}}{a(\lambda)}.$$

The function  $R(\lambda)$  is continuous for  $\lambda \in \partial\Gamma$ . Setting  $n = -1$  and  $n = 0$  in the identity (2.6) yields the expression

$$m(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{a_{-1}} \frac{\overline{f_0(\lambda)} + R(\lambda) f_0(\lambda)}{f_{-1}(\lambda) + R(\lambda) \overline{f_{-1}(\lambda)}} \quad (2.7)$$

The norming constants  $M_k(t)$  corresponding to the  $\mu_k(t)$  are given as

$$M_k^{-2} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n^2(\mu_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, p.$$

The set of quantities  $\{R(\lambda); \mu_k; M_k, k = 1, \dots, p\}$  is called the scattering data for the Jacobi operator  $L$ . The inverse scattering problem for  $L$  is to recover the coefficients  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$  from the scattering data.

In solving the inverse problem, an important role is played by the Marchenko-type basic equation. Define

$$F_n = \sum_{k=1}^p M_k^{-2} z_k^{-n} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Gamma} \frac{R(\lambda)}{z^{-1} - z} z^{-n} d\lambda, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $z_k = z(\mu_k)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, p$ .

Then  $A_{nm}$  and  $\alpha_n$  involved in (2.4) satisfy the relations

$$F_{2n+m} + A_{nm} + \sum_{k<0} A_{nk} F_{2n+m+k} = 0, \quad m < n \leq 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\alpha_n^{-2} = 1 + F_{2n} + \sum_{k<0} A_{nk} F_{2n+k}, \quad n \leq 0. \quad (2.10)$$

To reconstruct the operator  $L$ , we consider Eq.(2.8) which is constructed by the scattering data. We find  $A_{nm}$  and  $\alpha_n$  from Eqs.(2.9) and (2.10), respectively, the first one having a unique solution with respect to  $A_{nm}$ . The coefficients  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are defined for  $n < 0$  by (2.5).  $f_n(\lambda)$  for  $n \leq 0$  are defined by (2.4). From the formula (2.7) we obtain Weyl function  $m(\lambda)$ . The spectral measure  $d\rho(\lambda)$  can be found by the formula

$$d\rho(\lambda_n) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_n} (\lambda_n - \lambda) m(\lambda), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Using the approach in [12],[13],[19], we can reconstruct semi-infinite Jacobi operator  $L_0$  by its spectral measure  $d\rho(\lambda)$ . Therefore, we find  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

### 3. Evolution of the scattering data

In this section we use the inverse scattering transform method to solve the problem (1.1)-(1.2). Let  $a_n(t)$ ,  $b_n(t)$  be a solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) satisfying (1.3). Consider the Jacobi operator  $L = L(t)$  associated with  $a_n = a_n(t)$ ,  $b_n = b_n(t)$ . Jost and Weyl solutions, reflection coefficient, spectral measure now depend on the additional parameter  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If the coefficients  $a_n = a_n(t)$ ,  $b_n = b_n(t)$  of Eq.(2.1) are solutions to problem (1.1)-(1.2) in the class (1.3), then the evolution of the scattering data is described by the formulas*

$$R(\lambda, t) = R(\lambda, 0) e^{(z^{-1}-z)t} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mu_k(t) = \mu_k(0), \quad k = 1, \dots, p \quad (3.2)$$

$$M_k^{-2}(t) = M_k^{-2}(0) e^{(z_k^{-1}-z_k)t}, \quad z_k = z(\mu_k), \quad k = 1, \dots, p. \quad (3.3)$$

**Proof.** System (1.1) is represented (see, for example [8],[13]) in the Lax form

$$\dot{L} = [L, A] = AL - LA, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $A = A(t)$  are Jacobi operator in  $\ell^2(-\infty, \infty)$ :

$$(Ay)_n = \frac{1}{2} a_n y_{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} a_{n-1} y_{n-1}.$$

Since (3.4) implies that the family of operators  $L = L(t)$  are unitarily equivalent (see [5],[8]), the spectrum of  $L = L(t)$  does not depend on  $n$  and (3.2) is valid.

Let  $f_n(\lambda, t)$  and  $\psi_n(\lambda, t)$  respectively be the Jost and Weyl solutions of the Eq.(2.1) with the parameter  $t$ . Consider the indetity (2.6) with the parameter  $t$ . As follows from [8],[12] the function  $\frac{d}{dt}\psi_n - (A\psi)_n$  is also a solution of the Eq.(2.1) with the parameter  $t$ . Applying the operator  $\frac{d}{dt} - A$  to (2.6), taking into account that the Jost solution  $f_n(\lambda, t)$  does not depend (see [8], on  $t$  asymptotically, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\psi_n - (A\psi)_n &= \left( \dot{a}(\lambda, t) + \frac{1}{2}(z^{-1} - z)a(\lambda, t) \right) \overline{f_n(\lambda, t)} + \\ &+ \left( \overline{\dot{a}(\lambda, t)} - \frac{1}{2}(z^{-1} - z)\overline{a(\lambda, t)} \right) f_n(\lambda, t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_0 - (AP)_0 = \frac{b_0 - \lambda}{2}, \quad \frac{d}{dt}P_{-1} - (AP)_{-1} = -a_{-1}.$$

Since  $P_n(\lambda, t)$  and  $Q_n(\lambda, t)$  are linearly independent, the function  $\frac{d}{dt}P_n - (AP)_n$  can be represented as

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n - (AP)_n = A(\lambda, t)P_n + D(\lambda, t)Q_n.$$

Setting  $n = -1$  and  $n = 0$  in the last relation, we find that

$$A(\lambda, t) = \frac{b_0 - \lambda}{2}, \quad D(\lambda, t) = a_{-1}^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}P_n - (AP)_n = \frac{b_0 - \lambda}{2}P_n + a_{-1}^2Q_n.$$

The same arguments are valid for solution  $Q_n(\lambda, t)$ . Thus, we have the formula

$$\frac{d}{dt}Q_n - (AQ)_n = -P_n + \frac{\lambda - b_0}{2a_0}Q_n.$$

Now by the formula (2.3) with the parameter  $t$  we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\psi_n - (A\psi)_n &= \left( a_{-1}^2 m(\lambda, t) + \frac{\lambda - b_0}{2a_0} \right) Q_n + \\ &+ \left( \dot{m}(\lambda, t) + \frac{b_0 - \lambda}{2} m(\lambda, t) - 1 \right) P_n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Since  $L = L(t)$  is selfadjoint and bounded,  $\frac{d}{dt}\psi_n - (A\psi)_n$  must satisfy the relation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi_n - (A\psi)_n = \theta(\lambda, t)\psi_n. \quad (3.7)$$

Hence, we can represent the function  $\frac{d}{dt}\psi_n - (A\psi)_n$  as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi_n - (A\psi)_n = \theta(\lambda, t)Q_n + \theta(\lambda, t)m(\lambda, t)P_n. \quad (3.8)$$

Comparing this identity with (3.6), we have

$$\theta(\lambda, t) = a_{-1}^2 m(\lambda, t) + \frac{\lambda - b_0}{2a_0}, \quad (3.9)$$

Further, according to (2.6), (3.5), (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\lambda, t) a(\lambda, t) \overline{f_n} + \theta(\lambda, t) \overline{a(\lambda, t)} f_n &= \left( \dot{a}(\lambda, t) + \frac{1}{2} (z^{-1} - z) a(\lambda, t) \right) \overline{f_n} + \\ &+ \left( \overline{\dot{a}(\lambda, t)} - \frac{1}{2} (z^{-1} - z) \overline{a(\lambda, t)} \right) f_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_n$  and  $\overline{f_n}$  are linearly independent, so substituting (3.9) into the last identity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a}(\lambda, t) + \frac{1}{2} (z^{-1} - z) a(\lambda, t) &= \left( a_{-1}^2 m(\lambda, t) + \frac{\lambda - b_0}{2a_0} \right) a(\lambda, t), \\ \overline{\dot{a}(\lambda, t)} - \frac{1}{2} (z^{-1} - z) \overline{a(\lambda, t)} &= \left( a_{-1}^2 m(\lambda, t) + \frac{\lambda - b_0}{2a_0} \right) \overline{a(\lambda, t)}. \end{aligned}$$

From this relations, we get

$$\dot{R}(\lambda, t) = (z^{-1} - z) R(\lambda, t),$$

which imply (3.1).

Now, let  $g_n(\mu_k, t)$  be a normalized eigenfunction of  $L$ . Since the eigenvalues  $\mu_k, k = 1, \dots, p$ , of this operator are simple, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} g_n - (Ag)_n = c g_n.$$

Taking the scalar products of  $g_n$  with both sides of this equality in  $\ell^2(-\infty, \infty)$  and using  $\|\psi_n\|_{\ell^2(-\infty, \infty)} = 1$  and  $A^* = -A$ , we obtain  $c = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} g_n - (Ag)_n = 0 \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand, if a normalized eigenfunction  $g_n(\mu_k, t)$  corresponds to the eigenvalue  $\mu_k$ , then

$$g_n(\mu_k, t) = c_k(t) f_n(\mu_k, t).$$

This implies that  $M_k^2(t) = c_k^2(t)$ . By virtue of (2.4), we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt} g_n - (Ag)_n \sim \left( \dot{c}_k(t) + \frac{z_k - z_k^{-1}}{2} c_k(t) \right) z_k^{-n}$$

as  $n \rightarrow -\infty$ . Taking into account (3.10), we have

$$\dot{c}_k(t) + \frac{z_k - z_k^{-1}}{2} c_k(t) = 0$$

This equation implies the relation (3.3).

The theorem is proved.

Using Theorem 1, we obtain the following procedure for solving problem (1.1), (1.2) based on the inverse scattering transform method: Initial data (1.2) is given. Construct  $R(\lambda, 0), \mu_k(0), M_k(0), k = 1, \dots, p$ . Calculate  $R(\lambda, t), \mu_k(t), M_k(t)$  using formulas (3.1)-(3.3). Construct a solution by solving the inverse problem by applying approach of the section 2 with  $R(\lambda, 0), \mu_k(0), M_k(0), k = 1, \dots, p$  replaced by (3.1)-(3.3).

#### 4. Solvability of the Cauchy problem for the Toda lattice

In section 3, while constructing a solution to problem (1.1)-(1.2), we assumed that this solution exists in the class (1.3). Let us now investigate its existence.

**Theorem 2.** *The problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution in the class (1.3).*

**Proof.** Denote by  $B$  the Banach space of pairs of sequences  $y = (y_{1,n}, y_{2,n})_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  for which the norm  $\|y\|_B = \sup_{n \geq 0} (|y_{1,n}| + |y_{2,n}|) + \sum_{n < 0} |n| (|y_{1,n}| + |y_{2,n}|)$  is finite. Then (see [21]) the set  $C([0, T]; B)$  of the continuous on an interval  $[0, T]$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$  functions is the Banach space.

Let us assume that

$$x_{1,n} = \begin{cases} a_n(t) & \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ a_n(t) - 1 & \text{for } n < 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

$$x_{2,n} = b_n(t).$$

Then system (1.1) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{1,n} = \frac{1}{2}x_{1,n}(x_{2,n+1} - x_{2,n}) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta_{n,|n|})(x_{2,n+1} - x_{2,n}), \\ \dot{x}_{2,n} = x_{1,n}^2 - x_{1,n-1}^2 + 2(1 - \delta_{n,|n|})(x_{1,n} - x_{2,n-1}), \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\delta_{n,m}$  is the Kronecker symbol.

Denote by  $F$  the operator generated the right-hand sides of system (4.2). Note, operator  $F$  is strongly continuously differentiable in the space  $C([0, T]; B)$ .

Now passing to the integral equation in the standard manner, we find problem (4.2) with initial conditions

$$x_{1,n}(0) = \begin{cases} a_n(0) & \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ a_n(0) - 1 & \text{for } n < 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

$$x_{2,n}(0) = b_n(0).$$

is equivalent to the equation

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t F(x(\tau))d\tau \quad (4.4)$$

Applying the principle of compressed maps, we find that problem (4.4) on some interval  $[0, \delta]$  has a unique solution  $x(t)$  with finite norm  $\|x(t)\|_{C([0, \delta]; B)} < \infty$ . Let us show that this solution can be extended to the entire positive semiaxis. Assume the opposite. Then there exists a point  $t^* \in (0, \infty)$  such that problem (4.2)-(4.3) has a solution  $x(t) = (x_{1,n}(t), x_{2,n}(t))$  on the interval  $[0, t^*)$  but  $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow t^*-0} \|x(t)\|_B = \infty$ . It follows from [8],[13] problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique solution  $(a_n(t), b_n(t))$  in  $C^\infty([0, \infty); M)$ ,



where  $M = \ell^\infty(-\infty, \infty) \oplus \ell^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$ . Hence, according to the (4.1) problem (4.2)-(4.3) has a unique solution  $x(t) = (x_{1,n}(t), x_{2,n}(t))$  satisfying

$$|x_{1,n}(t)| + |x_{2,n}(t)| < C$$

for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , where  $C$  does not depend on  $t$ . We integrate the system (4.2) over a interval  $[0, t]$ . Then, using the last inequality, after some simple transformations, we get

$$\|x(t)\|_B \leq 2 \|x(0)\|_B + (4C + 4) \int_0^t \|x(\tau)\|_B d\tau, \quad 0 < t < t^*,$$

which, according to the Gronwall's inequality implies

$$\|x(t)\|_B \leq 2 \|x(0)\|_B e^{(4C+4)t}.$$

Therefore, our assumption that  $\overline{\lim_{t \rightarrow t^*-0}} \|x(t)\|_B = \infty$  is not correct and problem (4.2)-(4.3) has a unique solution  $x(t) = (x_{1,n}(t), x_{2,n}(t)) \in C([0, T]; B)$  for any  $T > 0$ . Integrating the system (1.1) over a interval  $[0, t]$  and using (4.1), we obtain that problem (1.1)-(1.2) be uniquely solvable in the class (1.3).

Thus, the theorem is proved.

## References

- [1] Toda M 1989 Theory of nonlinear lattices (Berlin: Springer)
- [2] Flaschka H 1974 On the Toda lattice. Inverse transform solution Prag Theor.Phys. **51** 703-16.
- [3] Venakides S, Deift Pand Oba R 1991 The Toda shock problem Comm. Pure Appl.Math. **44** 1171-42.
- [4] Deift Pand Kriecherbauer T 1996 The Toda rarefaction problem Comm.Pure Appl.Math. **54** 1171-42.
- [5] Boutet de Monvel A, Egorova I and Khruslov E 1997 Soliton asymptotics of the Cauchy problem solution for the Toda lattice Inverse Problems **13** 323-37.
- [6] Snplase Guseinov I and Khanmamedov Ag 1999 The asymptotics of the Cauchy problem for the Toda chair with threshold – type initial data Th. and Math. Phys. **119** 739-49.
- [7] Boutet de Monvel A and Egorova I 2000 The Toda lattice with step-like initial data. Solution asymptotics Inverse Problems **16** 955-77.
- [8] Teschl G 2000 Jacobi Operators and Completely Integrable Nonlinear Lattices (Math. Surv. And Mon. 72, AMS).
- [9] Kudryavstsev M 2002 The Cauchy problem for the Toda lattice with a class of non-stabilized initial data (Mathem. Results in Quantum mechanics 307 AMS 209-214).
- [10] Khanmamedov A. Kh 2008 The solution of Cauchy's problem for the Toda lattice with limit periodic initial data Sb. Math. **199** 449-58.
- [11] Khanmamedov Ag 2009 Inverse scattering problem for Schrodinger difference equation NEWS of Baku University, ser. of phys.-math. Sci. **2** 17-22.
- [12] Khanmamedov Ag 2010 The inverse scattering problem for a discrete Sturm-Lioville operator on the whole axis Dokladi Akademii Nauk, **431** 25-26
- [13] Guseinov G 1978 The determination of on infinite Jacobi matrix form the two spectrum Math. Zametki **23** 709-20.
- [14] Berezanski Yu 1968 Expansions in Eigenfunctions of Self-adjoint Operators (Transl.Math.Monogr. 17 AMS).

- [15] Berezhanski Yu 1985 The integration of semi-infinite Toda chain by means of inverse spectral problem *Math. Phys.* **24** 21-47.
- [16] Berezhanski Yu 1985 Integration of nonlinear difference equations by the inverse spectral problem method *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **31** 264-67.
- [17] Guseinov G 1976 The inverse problem of scattering theory for a second – order difference equation on the whole axis *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **17** 1684-88.
- [18] Khanmamedov A. Kh 2005 The rapidly decreasing solution of the Cauchy problem for the Toda lattice *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **142** 1-7.
- [19] Coussement J and Van Assche W 2004 An extension of the Toda lattice: a direct and inverse spectral transform connected with orthogonal rational functions *Inverse Problems* **20** 297-18.
- [20] Egorova I, Michor J and Teschl G 2009 Inverse scattering transform for the Toda hierarchy with steplike finite-gap backgrounds *J.Math. Phys.* **50** 1-10.
- [21] Krein M. 1967 *Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces* (Nauka: Moscow [in Russian]).